TOTAL SHEETS

NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES

Non-storm water discharges defined in Part III.A.2 of the NPDES Permit will be identified after construction has commenced. These discharges shall be subject to the same requirements as storm water discharges required by the Georgia Erosion and Sedimentation Control Act, the NPDES Permit, the Clean Water Act, the Manual for Erosion and Sediment Control in Georgia, Department Standards, and contract documents.

DE-WATERING ACTIVITIES AND USE OF PUMPS

Any pumped discharge from an excavation or disturbed area shall be routed through an appropriately sized sediment basin, silt filter bag or shall be treated equivalently with suitable BMP's. The contractor shall ensure the post BMP treated discharge is sheet flowing. Failure to create sheet flow will obligate the contractor to perform water quality sampling of their pumped discharges. The contractor shall prepare sampling plans in accordance with the current GARIOOOO2 NPDES permit utilizing by a Certified Design Professional. No separate payment will be made for water quality sampling of pump discharges.

OTHER CONTROLS

The Contractor shall follow this ESPCP and ensure and demonstrate compliance with applicable State and/or local waste disposal, sanitary sewer or septic system regulations.

The Contractor shall control dust from the site in accordance with Section 161 of the current edition of the Department's Specifications.

SEDIMENT STORAGE

The following table summarizes the required and available sediment storage for every outfall on this project. The Contractor shall provide and maintain the storage volumes for the BMPs specified in this table.

Outfall Structure	Total Drainage Area (Acres)	Disturbed Area (Acres)	Required Sediment storage Volume (yd3)		Check Dam (yd3 each)		RIPRAP(oy)		SIIt Fence (CY)		Inlet Sedîment Trap (CY)	
					No.of Devices	Total Volume	No.of Devices	Total Volume	CF of Devices	Total Volume	No.of Devices	Total Volume
A-1	3.38	078	52.39	191	8	56.28	6	35.3	2678	99.19		
B-/	0.63	0.52	<i>34.55</i>	64	0	0	1	5.0	1591	58.93		
C-/	28.25	5/9	347.78	414	16	197.84	2	39	4792	177.48		
D-I	22.49	2.08	/39.53	33/	6	154	2	48	3490	129.26		
E-/	12.40	6.07	406.36	563	26	252.23	2	10	7791	288.56	1	12.50
F-I												
G-/	3.62	1.97	131.86	147	0	0	1	5.0	3831	141.89		
H-I	10.52	7.06	472.96	478	9	130.2	2	48.0	8091	299.67		
1-1	<i>16.8</i> 7	3.79	254.03	<i>33</i> 5	3	1079	2	90.3	63/2	233,78		
J-I	1.70	1.18	79.34	94	2	45,03	1	IID	1034	<i>38.</i> 30		
L-1	11.03	2.93	196.60	239	4	23.38	2	20.3	5270	195.19		

In order to prevent runoff from bypassing inlet sediment traps, a temporary berm shall be installed on the downstream side of all inlet sediment traps that are not located in a low point or an excavated sump. Temporary berms, when necessary, shall be a minimum of 18" high and constructed in a manner that ensures stormwater does not bypass the inlet. The Contractor may submit alternate temporary containment berm designs to the Project Engineer for approval.

DISCHARGES INTO,OR WITHIN ONE LINEAR MILE UPSTREAM OF AND WITHIN THE SAME WATERSHED AS, ANY PORTION OF A BIOTA IMPAIRED STREAM SEGMENT

All outfalls are either located further than I linear mile upstream or outside of the watershed of an Impaired Stream Segment that has been listed for criteria violated, "Bio F" (impaired Fish Community) and/or "Bio M" (Impaired Macro invertebrate Community), within Category 4a, 4b or 5, and the potential cause is either "NP" (nonpoint source) or "UR" (urban runoff).

STREAM BUFFER ENCROACHMENT

Stream Buffers are not impacted by this project.

MONITORING GENERAL NOTES:

Representative sampling may be utilized on this project. The characteristics of the individual watersheds along the project corridor have been carefully evaluated and compared on the basis of drainage characteristics, watershed size, land disturbance and earth work. After evaluation of these items as presented in the projects drainage area maps, hydrology and hydraulic studies, construction plans and erosion sedimentation and pollution control plans, it has been determined that the increase in turbidity at the specified locations will be representative of the increase in turbidity for all waters leaving the site. Approved primary and alternate representative monitoring sites are identified in the table:

- 1	Monitoring site	Primary or Alternate Site	Location (Sta. and Side)	Name of Receiving water	Applicable construction stage for monitoring	SamplingType (Outfall or Receiving Water)
	<i>1</i> .	Primary	STA 201+65 (49' LT)	White Oak Creek	ALL	Outfall
	2.	Alternate	STA 711+38.64 (32' LT)	White Oak Creek	ALL	Outfall

Monitoring site	Primary or Alternate Site	Drainage Area	Disturbed Area	Warm or Cold water Stream	Appendix B NTU value (outfall Monitoring Only)	Allowable NTU increase (For Receiving Water)	Location Description
1.	Primary	12.40 AC	2.0 AC	Warm	50	N/A	RAMP B
2.	Alternate	16.87 AC	2.0 AC	Warm	50	N/A	SPRING BLUFF RD

(According to the EPD, additional monitoring sites may be required depending on significant changes in typical sections).

The primary site specified should be used as the initial sampling location. The alternate sampling sites may be used if additional sampling is required and/or if the primary sampling site is no longer located within the active phase of construction.

MONITORING SAMPLING METHODS & PROCEDURES

See Special Provision 167 and other contract documents for Monitoring Sampling Methods and Procedures.

READY MIX CHUTE WASH-DOWN

The washing of ready-mix concrete drums and dump truck bodies used in the delivery of portland cement concrete is prohibited on this site. In accordance with standard Specification 107 - Legal Regulations and Responsibility to the Public, only the discharge "chute" utilized in portland cement concrete delivery may be rinsed free of fresh concrete remains. The Contractor shall excavate a pit outside of State water buffers, at least 25 feet from any storm drain and outside of the travel way, including shoulders, for a wash/pit area. The pit shall be large enough to store all wash-down water without overtopping the pit. Immediately After the wash-down operations are completed and after the wash-down water has soaked into the ground, the pit shall be filled in, and the ground above shall be graded to match the elevation of the surrounding areas smoothed out. Alternate wash down plans must be approved by the Project Engineer.

Wash-down plans describe procedures that prevent wash down water from entering streams and rivers. Never dispose of wash-down water down a storm drain. Establish a wash-down water pit location that includes the following: (1) the pit is located away from a storm drain, stream or river, (2) the pit is accessible to the vehicle being used for wash-down, (3) the pit has enough volume for wash-down water, and (4) make sure you have permission to use the area for wash-down. On some sites, you may not have permission or access to a location which allows for a wash-down pit. In those cases, the Contractor may have to wash-down into a wheelbarrow or other container and carry the container for transport to a proper disposal site. For additional information, refer to the Georgia Small Business Environmental Assistance Program's "A Guide for Ready Mix Chute/Hopper Wash-down".

ALTERNATIVE BMPs

Alternative BMPs are not used on this project. Silt Gates are used on this project as additional BMPs at pipe inlets and are not being used in place of or as a substitute for other conventional BMPs. Temporary check dams are used in ditches to provide interim stabilization and flow velocity reduction. The stability of the site is maintained with other conventional BMPs as shown on the plans. This ESPCP would be fully compliant with permit requirements if the silt gates were removed and as a result are not considered alternative BMPs when used on this project. The silt gates help to prevent pipe clogging during construction that can result from the ingestion of sediments and other large debris like rip rap, sand bags, roadway debris and other construction materials that when combined with sediments easily clog roadway drainage pipes.

EROSION, SEDIMENTATION, AND POLLUTION CONTROL PLAN GENERAL NOTES



Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.

STATE OF GEORGIA REVISION DATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OFFICE: OFFICE OF PROGRAM DELIVERY BMP GENERAL NOTES 1 95 / HORSE STAMP CHURCH 51-02 ROAD INTERCHANGE